An Architecture Coupled with a Provenance Model for Affective Simulations in Games based on Real World

Rainier Sales, Esteban Clua, Daniel de Oliveira, and Aline Paes

Computer Science Department, Computing Institute
Universidade Federal Fluminense
Niterói, Brazil
{rsales, esteban, danielcmo, alinepaes}@ic.uff.br

Abstract— **Usually, characters of games based on the real world are simplified stereotypes of the human they represent, as such games disregard psychological aspects of the human mind. However, emotive elements based on humans may be essential to achieve a proper fun factor to the game player. Moreover, by applying emotional aspects related to human beings, we are able to insert aspects of the reality from a human point of view, which is going to yield an expected behavior of the characters similar to those made outside the game. Thus, in this paper we propose an architecture to modify the behavior of non-player character (NPC), making them an affective agent. Personality traits, mood and emotion models based on well-established theories of psychology are associated to the NPC so that the behavior and general way of thinking of humans are simulated.**

Keywords— Affective Agents, Digital Games, Artificial Intelligence, Agents Architecture, NPC, Provenance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Games are currently closed models, in the sense where there is no direct communication with the real world, since events that occur outside of the game do not interfere with in-game events. Usually, they are only updated by the developers, either to improve playability or to fix bugs. However, the game may become more attractive to the player if real world events capable to interfere in the game are captured. Let us illustrate this scenario with the following example: in the game Top Spin 4™, the two tennis players that are difficult to defeat were Rafael Nadal and Novak Djokovic. This is comprehensible since when the game was developed these are world number one and number two, respectively. However, during 2012 Nadal was injured and did not play many tournaments. But, in the game, its character was still very difficult to beat although the real Nadal was injured. If the game could reflect the real world, the playability and immersion could be improved.

In order to simulate events that happen in the real world within the game environment it is essential to represent human beings features in the characters of the game. Thus, the major goal of this paper is to include affective agents -- aka emotional agents -- as Non-player characters in the game. Specifically, we contribute with a novel architecture that aims to simulate emotions and feelings similar to the real humans in NPCs [1]. To achieve that, the NPC in the game are mapped to artificial emotion-based agents, built from personality, emotion and mood models, previously developed in psychology science. We follow this path because emotion is recognized as the central element of human behavior, and we intend to simulate within the game real situations according to the domain of the game. We present in this research a novel strategy to build convincing NPC based on human psychological aspects, instead of following the more common topic of making a NPC more realistic by changing its graphic appearance.

In order to validate and improve games and platforms, it is essential to keep an updated and organized record of each internal and external event that leads to changes of mood and emotions in NPCs. Additionally, the historical changes of emotion and mood can be used as basis for more sophisticated NPCs, whose behavior may be predicted according to different types of events. For achieving such requirements, we can take advantage of database models developed to deal with provenance data [2]. The PROV model [3] is the current W3C recommendation to address the capture and storage of provenance data. The PROV model aggregates information about entities, activities and people involved in the production of a data set. Such information is used to evaluate the quality and reliability or reliability of these generated data. Thus, a further goal of this paper is to introduce the use of the PROV model to keep and validate all information when concerning affective agents in a game.

To summarize, we can structure the main contribution of this paper as the design of an architecture that can correspond faithfully to the problem of including affective agents in games in a generic way, without necessarily being tied to a language, technology or environment. Because of that, it may be implemented and used in several different scenarios that meet their requirements. Besides the main goal, we highlight the following specific goals: (1) Modeling and implementation of theoretical models of psychology, aiming to validate them. (2) Validation of the architecture in the form of simulation. (3) Inclusion of a provenance model attached to the architecture, aiming to keep a record of every change in the NPC regarding the external and internal events. The contributions are relevant since they improve and maximize the sense of reality of the player within the game, and consequently increase the sense of immersion and fun.

This paper is organized as follows. First in section 2 we introduce the related work that somehow influenced this paper. In section 3, we first discuss how to model the real psychological aspects of a character with Affective agents, describing the model of personality, emotion, mood and response. In section 4 we present our Affective agent proposal and finally, at section 5, we show the provenance model for affective agents created. At section 6 we present the architecture model proposed in this paper in the form of a simulator and finally, section 7 concludes the paper. It is important to note that this paper enhances and improves the research started in the paper [4]. Additionally, a thorough experimental analysis of the current implementation of the architecture is presented in [5].

II.   RELATED WORK

In this section we describe the work related to the architecture proposed in this paper. A number of previous works have proposed the development of affective architectures. However, there are a number of key points that distinguish those works from ours, as we summarize next:

1. The architecture developed here is not intrusive, in the sense that if the game is completed, it is not necessary to modify the original architecture of the NPC, but only to complement it with input and output data.

2. Picard [6] advocates the study of emotion as divided into two major components, the physiological and cognitive components. In much of the previous work, the affective states only influence the physiological component (facial expression, tone of voice, gestures, selection of words and phrases and reactive response to stimuli). This paper aims to explore aspects of personality, mood and emotion to influence the responses of the cognitive component (perception, motivation, memory and decision making) in games. This approach focusing on the cognitive components is inspired by the line of research addressed in the work of Laird [7], where the author indirectly suggests the relevance of the study of human psychology in the Artificial Intelligence approach of affective computing, to complement the physiological component.

3. Although there are works about the cognitive component, during the last three decades the research that dealt with this issue has been implemented only to perform simple experiments or to be used as tools for people in various institutions. Unlike related work, this research is not applicable to a single application, or a unique experiment, but instead it can be broadly applied to every game that simulates the real world.

4. By using the data storage module implemented here, our architecture provides a storage structure in order to provide provenance data.

Thus, none of the work presented here has the same purpose of this paper, however, they directly influenced the way in which the research was based and built.

- A MULTILAYER MODEL PERSONALITY: The work from Kshirsagar and Magnenat-Thalmann [8] developed a model of multilayer agents, aiming to simulate humans by means of personality, mood and emotion. The personality model adopted is the big five (the same used in this research), coupled with a Bayesian network for selecting the agent behavior. The main point that differentiates the research proposed in our paper from that work is the way that the NPC faces changes: there physiological and graphical factors of the NPC, such as the facial expression, voice intonation and speech rate, changes according to the events happening in the game. The architecture proposed here, on the other hand, has as ultimate goal to change the performance of the NPC.

- ALMA - A LAYERED MODEL OF AFFECT: His architecture named ALMA presented in Gebhard [9] is one of the main references in the implementation of agents with personality, mood and emotion. ALMA architecture is implemented according to three emotional states: emotion, mood and personality (the same models are adopted in this paper). The main difference of that work is in their main goal, since that architecture is used in a project named Virtual Human, which combines the state of the art in computer graphics technology and generation of dialogues. The role of the architecture in that project is to take into account the emotional state of the agent to modify its facial expression, the selection of words, phrases, strategies etc.

- MAKING THEM REMEMBER - VIRTUAL CHARACTERS WITH EMOTIONAL MEMORY: e architecture developed by Kasap et al. [10] presents a model of emotion-based memory to build long-term relationships between users and virtual characters. The relationship is the accumulated result of the evaluation (positive and negative) the results from the interaction of the agents. This evaluation is made by means of emotions associated with perceptions. In that architecture they used the model of the Big Five personality, the PAD Mood model and the OCC model of emotion, as we did.

- SHERCA - SANDIA HUMAN EMBODIMENT AND REPRESENTATION COGNITIVE ARCHITECTURE: work from Djorjevich et al. [11] presents a significant use of emotive agents in the scenario of a training game, developed by Sandia National Laboratories in partnership with the University of Southern California GamePipe Lab. Similar to the architecture presented here the SHERCA architecture also uses fuzzy logic to select a proper response coming from the agent.

- PROVENANCE IN GAMES: There are few studies in the literature dealing with the use of provenance for digital games (Khaled and Ingram 2012 [12], Kohwalter et al. 2012 [13], White et al. 2009 [14]). However, none of them addresses the question of provenance considering emotions, personality and mood. Khaled and Ingram [12] and White et al. [14] discuss the issue of using provenance in games as a key factor for the validation and development of digital games. They discuss the importance of monitoring how the game changes over time, both for validation and for debugging. Kohwalter et al. [13] presents a model of provenance that might actually be used by third parties. However, Kohwalter et al. modeling the provenance of a fairly generic information without considering emotion, mood and personality.

III. Representation and Modeling of Psychological Aspects with Affective Agents

The representation of real psychological aspects of characters in games is an essential part of this research. Since human aspects are simulated in the games characters, they are also called believable agents [15]. The study of how computer software and robotics artifacts can recognize, synthesize and express emotions gave rise to a new research area, defined as affective computing [6]. The computation components are composed of paradigms of logic, rationality and predictability. These paradigms are in many ways the basis of intelligence and the focus of much research in the field of making intelligent machines. The main goal of applying affective computing in simulation games is to make the NPC to present a convincing emotion-based performance that would be expected only in humans Picard [6] highlights that affective computing can not only enrich the quality of the interaction between user and NPC, but also to impact the personal ability to interpret intelligently the actions taken by the NPC. The emotional ability, especially to recognize and express emotions is essential for natural communication between humans. In this way, the developers of a NPC should try to construct and define them with convincing actions, so that when simulating specific and real humans, the behavior of the NPC reflects the expected behavior of the human being. The inclusion of emotion in NPC following the theory of affective computing tends to raise two very interesting games market: (1) quality of interaction between the player and NPC and (2) intelligently interpreting the actions of the NPC. In summary, they tend to humanize NPC interaction in games against human machine interaction in simulating human against human, in order to make the game less predictable and its outcome not clear from the beginning [16].

To some extent, the games should be unpredictable as a game that is very predictable is not usually much fun for a long time. In small simple games it is possible to create unpredictable results with controlled randomness, however in long and complex games it is expected that the skills and strategies of the player do the difference. When players realize that their decisions and abilities do not change the game, they quickly become frustrated with the experience. Most complex games mix three sources of unpredictability. The first is the chance, the same used in small games in search of getting some controlled randomness, usually not the best source for large and complex games. The second is a range of complex rules, where the complexity of the problem creates numerous possibilities to minimize the formation of patterns by the player. The third is the game complex to employ choices for the players. The affective computing strategy in games tries to simulate human behavior by means of using human factors based on emotions, in attempt to make the game less predictable and hence more fun, as if the game were being played against a real human being. Including emotion features in agents, without going into the peculiarities of it being an NPC, and is a recent field but well-grounded in sciences like psychology, neuroscience and artificial intelligence.

The architecture for simulating human behavior based on emotions proposed here is composed of four modules corresponding to personality, emotion, mood and response of

the NPC. Such module allows communication of different feature emotions in the NPC in a way similar to what happens in the human mind. The main basis of the choice of those four modules is based on the reference book and manual written by Marsella et al. [17]. The NPC architecture for simulation of the real world characters communicates directly to the actions of the NPC. As an example, in the game FIFA ™, the players have assembled to perform predetermined actions, as a penalty kick, hitting a foul, play the ball etc. The proposed architecture considers the complexity existing in the original architecture of the behavior of the NPC in the game and does not act to modify the behavior of the agent.

In order to justify the use of the proposed modules, and understanding the need for the specialized construction of models that meet the specific scenario of digital games that simulate the real world, we discuss the choice of modules personality, mood, emotion and response. Many other models could have here been adopted in place of the models chosen, however the choice of the models that we present here took into consideration the following points: Relevance of model in psychology and/or neuroscience, amenable to computational logic implementation and communication of data between models.

In this section we present the models of psychology that is adopted in our architecture. Figure 1 show, in a simplified manner, the operation desired in our affective agent, compared to a non-affective agent:


Fig. 1.   Comparison between Normal case and Affective Agent.

Games traditionally implements agent events as game triggers that executes some kind of specific action. Our proposal pretends to capture (or produce) events or inputs, in order to increase human aspects, such as personality, mood, emotion and response. Next, we describe each stage and model used in our architecture.

A. Personality model

The psychology model chosen for modeling the personality of the artificial agent in the proposed architecture is known as the Big Five model, taken from [18]. This is the model commonly used in recent research to describe personality of individuals according to a number of defined features. The Big Five model began to take shape in the 1930s by British psychologist William McDougall, when he suggested analyzing the personality from five major factors [18]. However, this model only gained significant attention from the

1980s, when research began to demonstrate the existence of five basic personalities that traits in individuals of different cultures and age groups. In this way, for the past 30 years, many psychologists agree with the five major factors defined in this model of personality [19]. Through a lexical approach in natural language model describes and classifies the human personality into five factors (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness to Experience, Agreeableness and Conscientiousness), where each factor brings together a variety of psychological traits. The approach used to discover these five factors came from the fact that all features which have some relevance, interest, utility or importance in human personality are recorded in natural language, justifying the relevance of the model to characterize the Big Five personality.

B. Emotion model

        Emotion is recognized as a central feature of human behavior. Because of that, it has been extensively studied in various areas of knowledge such as Psychology, Neuroscience, Philosophy and Artificial Intelligence. Greek philosophers had already considered emotions as the most interesting facet of human existence, while they were also aware that they may confuse, disrupt and distract human reason. The separation of body and mind suggesting an interconnection (Theory of Dualism) was proposed by René Descartes, considered the foundation of the study of emotion as described [20], since Descartes defends the body functions as a unit of mechanical action deterministic and predictable, while the mind controls the action, memory and imagination being influenced by emotion. Studies of Descartes influence this research because, since we insert emotions into mechanical bodies, simulating the theory of dualism. Currently, studies about emotion are divided into three elements: (1) basic emotions, (2) primary, secondary and tertiary emotions and (3) emotions in the theory of evaluation. The model chosen was the emotions in the theory of evaluation, since it is easy to implement and of large utilization.

In [21] emotions in the theory of evaluation are defined as the evaluation process, where events are classified and their emotional intensity is determined by the goals, beliefs, attitudes and risk of the person or agent. In the search for a suitable model for this process, we have chosen for this research the model of Cognitive Ortony, Clore and Collins (OCC), due to its simplicity of implementation in logic programming, in addition to being a model with good results and simple to use at emotion recognition computer systems, as described [22]. Ortony et al. proposed a theory based on a cognitive approach of the emotion, which describes the origin of emotions from cognitive processes that enable each of them. This theory results in a psychological model that explains the origin of 22 different types of emotions. The OCC model assumes that emotions can arise from the evaluation of three different aspects of the world: events, agents and objects, and the activation of the emotion are still dependent on the individual's perception and interpretation. Emotions reflect a short-term effect, which after being activated by an event, action or object returns to its initial state in a short time. In humans, emotions often influence cognitive and physiological activities. The 22 emotions of the OCC model in [23] are ranked between

positive and negative, as well as the actions necessary for its activation.

C. Mood model

    Mood and emotion are distinguished in terms of intensity and in terms of duration [24]: mood differs from emotion in the sense that it is considered more durable and results from small events or stimuli generated from emotions. Because of that, besides mapping the basic personality and emotions of the artificial agent, it is also necessary to consider features based on its mood. Traditionally, the mood is represented in a one-dimensional scale, where the extremes are "good" and "bad" [6]. In order to describe and assess mood states, we used the PAD model (Pleasure, Arousal and Dominance) [25], which were initially developed to verify the level of satisfaction of consumers after purchasing a product. This model is simple and easy to deploy, consisting of three independent dimensions used to describe the mood states: (1) Pleasure/displeasure measures the affective qualities of emotional states; (2) Arousal/tranquility describes a composition of physical activity and attention; (3) Dominance/submission is defined in terms of control and no control of a situation.

    The derivative of this three-dimensional representation represents the mood of an agent. As we have two different values for each dimension variation, we can represent eight different mood states. The table defined by [26] maps the PAD model to different moods states. The PAD model was chosen primarily for its easy logic implementation, and diversity of possible results.

D. Response model

    The response is basically the treatment response of the junction of the three models (personality, mood and emotion) with the behavior of the individual. However the results of the models are not directly mapped to a binary pattern, i.e. the response is usually based on vague and ambiguous information, and may have different kind of interpretation. Because of that characteristic, the response model was implemented using fuzzy logic [27]. In our model, the agent responds to some event that is linked to the three states of mood reactions described in the PAD model [28] subdivided into 22 possible states of emotion. Such an answer defines the final behavior of the agent and is obtained directly from combinations of mood emotion and personality of the agent. We resort to logical reasoning to conclude that the agent responds to an event in the game.

    The Greek philosopher Aristotle (384-322 BC) established a set of strict rules so that conclusions could be accepted and logically valid. The Aristotle logic, also called Western logic, defines a proposition as being strictly binary, that is, it is true or false and it cannot be (even partially) true and false at the same time. This assumption is the logical law of no contradiction. However, when modeling human behavior to an artificial agent, personality/emotional/mood features are not usually only totally true or false. They rather admit intermediate values between true and false. Thereby, according to [29], the fuzzy logic is more appropriate, if not inevitable, to gather conclusions regarding the agent responds to an event, rather the inadequacy of assuming extreme

responses. Furthermore, the fuzzy logic enables the capturing of vague information, commonly present in the natural language, in order to convert the results arising from the PAD and OCC model to a numeric value. The Nebula or fuzzy logic was developed by Lotfi Asker Zadeh in 1965, using as a basis set theory. The objective was to understand and resolve the vagueness and aspects of the information, such as impression, ambiguity and uncertainty, typically present at the human thinking [29]. For this research we consider the Fuzzy Logic as a tool capable of capturing vague information, generally described in a natural language, as the results obtained in the model of mood PAD, mapping them to a numeric value, capable to be computationally manipulated

We call the fuzzification as the step where we identify the values of variables, normalized to a world standard that will activate the rules which have been pre-defined in a knowledge base. This will be later used for triggering the inference process that determines how rules are called and combined.   The defuzzification will be referred as the step that converts the results obtained to information that will be presented back to the user.

IV. AFFECTIVE AGENT ARCHITECTURE:

In this section we describe our proposed architecture for Affective agents applied to game oriented NPC, according to the events due personality, mood and emotion inputs. Figure 2 illustrates a simplified overview:


Fig. 2. Architecture overview.

To allow the operation of architecture communicating with some game simulation of the real world, this is subdivided into modules communicating with the game, as shown in Figure 3:


Fig. 3. Architecture and game communication.

Basically, in a game a NPC is encoded from the actions it performs. These actions can be mapped as events between agents or events not controlled by any NPC (environmental events). Thus, the architecture is composed of four modules (creation, perception, organism and response.) hat are directly related to the events taking place in game. The original architecture of the NPC communicates with the module creation. When an event happens in the game, either associated with the environment or with the NPC, this is measured by the perception module. The architecture internally performs the computations in the organism module and then responds in response module, which in turn performs an action of memory of NPC.

The creation and perception module of events are responsible for initiating and generating all the necessary features for the architecture. The creation module initially defines the NPC personality in a vector P varying between -1 and 1, which are mapped to the five strands of the Big Five model. With the personality defined, the basis mood is generated, representing the mood of the agent without interference events. To compute the basis mood it is used the formula defined by [30], where the vector character is transformed into a point in a three-dimensional state of humor. At this time as we don`t have events perceived, we have upgraded the current mood equals to base mood (same values), while there are no events happening the NPC has the performance calculated from the values of the base mood. When an event occurs, it is accompanied by intensity. However, not all events are interpreted by the NPC, due to several factors such as attention, interest, time, etc. To address that, only events with an intensity value above of a minimum value are considered and events with intensity below this are ignored.

Before mapping the events, it is necessary to map the current emotion of the agent from the table of direct mapping of mood to emotion, defined by [25]. This is possible since the basis mood has already been computed, then, we can start inserting events. An event perceived by the agent may take various characteristics, a death, a victory, the conquest of something and many other actions can happen in the real world and consequently in the game. As a number of possibilities can happen, each event is mapped to the main emotion associated to it, according to the OCC model of emotion. As the event and the emotion of the NPC use the same model, the association of the emotion to the agent is done by a sum of vectors.

The next step (response module) is where we get the real change in the agent, as an emotion is a temporary state, so we have the mapping from the direct conversion of [25] in the opposite direction. At this point the current and basis mood of the agent differs, due to the insertion of the events and its interpretation from the point of view of the NPC. In the final step we use fuzzy logic to map the 22 mood states to the three states of emotion, to represent the change in the performance of the NPC. The mapping is fulfilled by considering the performance that the modification occurs in the components of the emotion of the NPC. In this case, the emotions components are linked to cognitive components defined in [29], which are memory, perception, decision making,

learning, motivation, attention , prioritization, planning and creativity.

The final result indicates the value that changes the performance of the NPC, which is between 1 and -1. The architecture provides many possible ways to use these results. Here we have chosen two of these possibilities: the rule of three direct and the rule of three spot. The rule of three direct, as the name suggests, is a rule of three considering the default value of the agent as the maximum value (100%), adjusting values between -1 and 1 for values between 0 and 1. In this way, the events interfere only negatively on the NPC, which in certain scenarios can be valid, such as the one presented in [5]. The local rule of three however, does not correct the values but instead maps them considering a range of interference. To make the rule of three, the values are regarded as intermediate, and consequently the events interfere either positively or negatively to the NPC. This heuristic is used in the model simulation of the game EA Sports FIFA 2013©, presented in section VI.

V.   THE PROVENANCE MODEL FOR AFFECTIVE AGENTS

Recording the source and history of information is essential when dealing with data that needs to be validated and reproduced, which is substantially what happens in digital games. Thereby, we benefit from data provenance topic, first defined in [31], which encompass initial and final times of events and process, generated files, modified parameters, errors occurring during the data processing, among others. The model adopted here is PROV [3], since it is domain-independent and represents provenance data through the well-known ER model.

The provenance model proposed in this paper extends the one defined in [13]. By using this model, we are able to represent not only the game definitions but also the variation in emotional features of the NPC during a game session. To represent such information, we map the PROV elements to the game elements, as follows. Entities are any element with a proper meaning in the game. The Agent element is an entity that carries out activities inside the game, in our case they are going to be the NPC and the character that the player controls. Activities are a sequence of actions that either the NPC or the player performs. In the affective agent games scenario, the activities are either internal or external events that are able to trigger changes in mood and emotions of the NPC. Finally, Plans are events that can be broken up in small well-defined sequences. The actions responding to an event are going to be mapped to plans.

The Figure 4 depicts the provenance data model adopts in this work. It is a UML class diagram, resulting from the gathering of information that should be represented in a game session. Since the proposed model follows the PROV recommendation, each class inherits a particular class of PROV model. Thus, the classes Object, Scene, World, EmotionComponent and GameDifficulty correspond to a PROV-Entity. Object, Scene and World represent the structure of the game. i.e., the scenes, possible worlds and objects used during the game.   The EmotionComponent represent a particular emotion associated to the affective agent and it is also connected to the difficulty of the game (GameDifficulty). In this way, this class is independent from the specific mood, emotion and personality models. The Event class (and its specializations, ExternalEvent and InternalEvent) is mapped to an Activity-PROV, since an event may be generated by an agent and it is going to have an action response. The Agent and NonPersonControlAgent classes represent an Agent-PROV, since the agent is the element that catalyzes, enables, controls or affects the execution of an activity. Finally, the Action class is mapped to a Plan-PROV, as a plan defines and correlates the agent function within an activity and is composed of a set of actions. By expressing the elements mapped above, each information pertained to the game can be kept in the provenance database.


Fig. 4.   Provenance model for affective agents.

VI. MODEL SIMULATION

This section aims to present the architecture model proposed in this paper in the form of a simulator. We believe that the theory and practice presented here can add much in the way the players understand and play current games. The simulator is named PMESAA - Personality, Mood and Emotion Simulator to Affective Agents, composed of the three main activities described next.

Agent definition: It is where we define the settings of the affective agent. Initially, it is necessary to introduce values in the five basic guidelines personality parameters. Then, from the defined personality, the simulator computes in real time what is the basis mood of the agent. With the baseline mood set, the simulator computes the current emotion of the agent. An emotion will be assigned only when this is greater than the minimum intensity performance of the agent.

Processing of Events: Events are actions that have an emotional denotation. A particular action is relevant to the agent when it has an associated emotion. With the event described in terms of emotion, we must determine whether or not the event is an internal event or external. All internal events are perceived by the agent. On the other hand, an external event is noticed only when the intensity of the emotion is greater than the value of the minimum intensity performance parameter. When an event is perceived by the agent, we have to change its current emotion parameters. In this way, the emotion value assigned to the agent is no longer derived from the personality and basis mood, but it also takes into account the external events.

Computation of the Final Result: A Result is established when there are either modifications in the agent or the inclusion of events. The first output result that we have it is the current mood of the agent. Initially, this is equal to the mood base. When an event happens, the current mood is going to change, as we compute the new mood of the agent based on the related emotions. When an event is inserted, the attribute performance intensity also changes, to ensure that the emotions are changing the performance of the agent.

This simulator, by using the proposed architecture, has the assurance that the personality, mood and emotion follow the reasoning models of psychology, however inputs calibration happens from the user, requiring as input values for personality of the agent and intensity of events emotions applied. Extending the focus of this article, we strongly suggest the use of the questionnaire NEO PI-R of Costa and McCrae [32] for the input of values of personality, while for values of emotion events suggest a psychological evaluation of the impact of the event in the real world.

A. Example of Application

There are several games that can make use of the architecture proposed here, among these we can highlight PES 2013™, NBA2K13™, UFC UNDISPUTED 3™, NHL 13™, where the events represented internally to the game are the same happened in the real world. In order to show the applicability of the architecture presented in this paper, we introduce the PMESAA in a simulation of the game EA Sports

FIFA 2013©, where we used the original data of the same. Other games not based on simulation of the real world can also make use of the architecture presented here. In such cases, the main differences are the origin and characteristics of events, since the events are fictitious, and entered into the system. In the database of FIFA 13 game each player has a specific configuration based on the performance of the real world, which is going to be the way in which the performance intensity alters the actions of the player.

The simulator proposes modifications contained in the attributes of the NPC during the game, now there is nothing similar in games, as in the current architecture NPCs performance is fixed or varying exclusively temporal (physical tiredness, fatigue etc.). These modifications generate a graph modified constantly during the game. Figure 4 represents this graph in a specific instant:


Fig. 5. Compared graphically change the attributes of an NPC.

As shown, the green graphic (Default) represents the expected performance under normal conditions, or free from change events. The light green graphic (1. Rule of Three) represents the change in the percentage of isolated event that affects the performance. Finally, the light blue graphic (2. Local Rule of Three) shows the performance of the player in his attributes at the exact moment after noticing an event (in this case the emotion has "shame" with intensity of 0.9). Numerically speaking, all attributes obtained a decrease of approximately 10.34%, which directly influence the player performance (in a free kick, a penalty or a simple goal kick.). It is not the focus of this article, but we believe that by introducing affective agents in games we create a new range of data and from the analysis of provenance data it is possible to produce interesting results for the developer to be able to analyze the game and better understand a victory and defeat. Such an analysis can be subject of future work.

By modifying the performance intensity of the agent from the entrance of an event, we are going to have a different value related to all attributes. Positive values of emotion have values tending to a maximum of default agent value, values for negative emotion values will tend to values distance of default agent value. Using the variable intensity performance, we chose to apply a simple logic of local rule of three.

B. Validating results

To validate the generated results,, we use two different approaches. We first analyze the pattern of results from the logical point of view of the expected results. Then we validate the results on a case study of a real-world game replicated internally in the simulator and analyzed the terms of provenance of the data generated. To validate the simulation and therefore the operation of the simulator, we determined behaviors commonly acceptable based on human reaction to events:

▪ **"A Positive input event maximizes positive outputs response".** In this case, a positive emotion maximizes the positive results. We considered the average results for each attribute (rating in this case) in 100 tests for each of the 11 positive emotions, generating a total of 1.100 tests to prove this rule. As results presented, all positive events produced positive results, changing to greater than half of the original value. This indicates that the simulator obeys this criterion.

▪ **"A Negative input event maximizes negative outputs response".** In this case, a negative emotion maximizes the negative results, the average results for each attribute (penalties in this case) in 100 tests for each of the 11 negative emotions, generating a total of 1.100 tests to prove this rule. As results presented, all negative results changed to less than half the original value, proving the rule addressed.

▪ **"Positive input events intensity generates different results of different intensity".** To validate this will make use of the attribute minimum intensity performance equal to 0.5. As the logic applied is the same in all emotions we chose emotion Admiration for example. The results obtained from 100 tests were conducted for each of the three intensities using emotion generating a total of 300 tests. As the results show, higher intensities tend to positive results and to the maximum value. For lower values of intensity, but above the minimum value, intensity measure will have more distant performance of the maximum value of the agent.

▪ **"Entries intensity of negative events generates different results of different intensity".** To validate this will make the use of attribute minimum intensity performance equal to 0.5. As the logic applied is the same in all emotions we chose emotion Anger for example. The results were obtained from 100 tests, conducted for each of the three intensities adopted in the emotion, yielding a total of 300 tests. As the results show, higher intensities have larger negative results, tending in the opposite direction. For lower values of intensity, but above the minimum value intensity, values indicate performance measures farther from the opposite value of the maximum value of the agent.

▪ **"Events of lower intensity than the minimum intensity of user perception are not perceived as the same".** To prove this rule, we use the attribute minimum intensity performance equal to 0.5. As the logic applied is the same in all emotions we chose two emotions, Admiration and Anger. We generate 100 tests were performed on each of the emotions chosen, generating a total of 200 tests. As the results presented, where the intensities are lower than the minimum intensity, the emotion of the event was not perceived by NPC.

With the analysis of the pattern generated and validated data on the direction and the expected direction of architecture for psychological reasons, we deepen into a case study applied directly to the simulator conceptual architecture, from the point of view of the provenance. In this section, we replicate the scenario of the final game of the 2013 FIFA Confederations Cup in a simulation of the game FIFA 2013© FIFA from EA Sports with NPC affective. With the use of provenance, we can realize a scout and see what the impact of emotions in the game session is. In current games, only the human player performance is variable. In the case of NPC, the behavior does not change and is predefined. A player who plays a game today by Brazil versus Spain (in FIFA 2013©) and loses, technically cannot understand the opposing team's performance based on the events that occurred before and during the game, since the performance of the opposing team is always constant and equal in all matches. In short, the change always happens exclusively by the player, and thus a victory for player due to poor performance of the opponent is not possible.

In order to introduce emotions in the context of the game, we developed an application that captures information from the original game, such as the characteristics of each team, the player's performance, and the metadata associated with them. The application then allows that in addition to the original features, we can define the events and emotional consequences of each event in each NPC. This result emotive alters the player's performance in the match (PI). Analyzing the game that occurred in Maracanã, we can configure multiple NPC and interpret your performance throughout the game. However, due to limited space in the paper we take as an example the Spanish player Sergio Ramos. Ramos attended all matches, influencing and being influenced throughout the game by the events. Many of these events have affected positively and negatively the NPC, so that we use only high-value events of influence, thus seeking to graphically predict their performance during the game using the provenance. The application of the events is presented in Figure 5.

Fig. 6.   Analysis of the performance of the player Ramos during the game.

From the graph generated, several conclusions can be made. For example, when the first goal for Brazil (event 1) occurs, the distress is the predominant emotion, due to the intensity and the scenario of the game. Consequently, the player's performance drops during a long period, but as time passes becomes less present and this player's performance is stabilized for a short time. When David Luiz saves what would be the first goal of Spain (event 2), the feeling present in greater intensity in the staff is disappointment by the not confirmation of a desirable event, hence the emotion produces a second drop in performance, but with lower intensity and faster recovery. In the third event, with the completion of the second goal in Brazil, the second half of play, the predominant emotion is fear confirmed, with strong intensity and slow recovery. At this point, the player's performance drops dramatically, and even before full recovery, the player's performance is compounded by the loss of penalty (event 4), clearly influenced by the accumulation of unfavorable events that produced under-performance by the player, plus the shame by mistake. Before the end of the game, the event five occurs, where the athlete gets another loss of performance affected by the expulsion of teammate (Pity), and until the end of the game, the player performance is consistently below its normal performance.

VII.   CONCLUSION

Currently, the globalized worlds has made information available quickly and in many cases free, such as the information of an agent as a real football player, basketball or cricket are quickly available in different media, especially the Internet. The approach of this article goes towards this attribute of the contemporary world, which is favorable to add veracity to the games. The approach adopted here goes further, attempting not only to insert changes of the external environment, but also to modify it internally as the consequences of external world events. Even in in games that do not   simulate the real world, it is possible to take into account different external and internal events and understand how they modify a NPC defined according to an affective model.

We believe that the proposal presented here may make games funnier, from the point of view of the player, since the events will have a direct impact on the performance of NPC. However, in order to experimentally show that, it is necessary to experiment directly with people playing with and without the architecture presented here, which goes beyond the proposal presented here. On the other hand from the simulation presented here, it was possible to realize a new level of realism added to the game while increasing the degree of reality between the game and the world of the player.

From the scientific point of view, the models presented here are grounded in psychological theoretical models, so one of the contributions presented is the implementation of them and test their real applicability. From the point of view of the architecture presented here, significant results are found that indicates a new path in the configuration of NPC, by including mechanisms of manipulation of personality   mood   and emotion. This tackles are of affective agents, a recent concept in digital games. The use of affective agents in games can open new doors for user interaction as playing a less predictable game and increasing the possibilities of man machine interaction used until then. This research contributes to a new scenario (but not only) in simulation games in the real world, allowing that the player can oppose a NPC quite predictable until now. Because of that, the player must formulate new strategies if she still wants to beat the NPC. Using the architecture, we add major changes in the way the players play a game based in the real world, what once was a simple game where the possible answers would be the victory or defeat, the data based on the emotion of the NPC provide interesting information for user understand why the result of a game, not based on the player's performance, but in the performance of the NPC. That's the difference that makes a toy into a learning experience.

REFERENCES

[1]   Wang, Guojiang and Xiaoxiao; Fu Kechang, Behavior decision model of intelligent agent based on artificial emotion, Advanced Computer Control (ICACC), 2nd International Conference on , vol.4, pp.185,189, 27-29 (2010).

[2]   J. Freire, D. Koop, E. Santos, and C. T. Silva, "Provenance for computational tasks: A survey," Computing in Science & Engineering, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 11–21, 2008.

[3]   P. Missier, K. Belhajjame, and J. Cheney, "The W3C PROV family of specifications for modelling provenance metadata," in Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Extending Database Technology, 2013, pp. 773–776.

[4] Sales, R. Clua, E. Oliveira, de D. Paes, Aline. An Artificial Emotional Agent-Based Architecture for Games Simulation. J.C. Anacleto et al. (Eds.): ICEC 2013, LNCS 8215, pp. 156–159, 2013.

[5] Sales, R. Clua, E. Oliveira, de D. Paes, Aline. An Experimental Analysis of Non-player Character as Affective Agents. (Eds.): SBGAMES 2013 (in press).

[6] Picard, Rosalind, Affective Computing. MIT Press, Boston. (1997).

[7] Laird, J. E. (2008). Extending the Soar cognitive architecture. Proceedings of the Artificial General Intelligence Conference. Memphis, TN: IOS Press.

[8] Kshirsagar, Sumedha and Nadia Magnenat-Thalmann. A Multilayer Personality Model. 2nd international symposium on Smart graphics, pp 107-115 (2002).

[9] Gebhard, Patrick. ALMA: a layered model of affect. fourth international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems, pp 29-36 (2005).

[10] Kasap, Z., Ben Moussa, M., Chaudhuri, P. and Magnenat-Thalmann, N. Making Them Remember—Emotional Virtual Characters with Memory. Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE (Volume:29 , Issue: 2), pp 20-29 (2009).

[11] Djordjevich, D.D., Xavier, P.G., Bernard, M.L., Whetzel, J.H., Glickman, M.R. and Verzi, S.J. Preparing for the aftermath: Using emotional agents in game-based training for disaster response. Computational Intelligence and Games. CIG '08. IEEE Symposium, pp 266-275 (2009).

[12] R. Khaled and G. Ingram, "Tales from the front lines of a large-scale serious game project," in Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2012, pp. 69–78.

[13] T. C. Kohwalter, E. W. Clua, and L. G. Murta, "Provenance in Games." In: XI SBGames, p. 162–171, Brasília, DF, Brazil.

[14] W. White, C. Koch, J. Gehrke, and A. Demers, "Better scripts, better games," Communications of the ACM, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 42–47, 2009.

[15] M. Mateas and A. Stern, "A behavior language for story-based believable agents," Intelligent Systems, IEEE, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 39–47, 2002.

[16] Adams, Ernest., Joris Dormans, and Safari Books Online (Firm). Game Mechanics: Advanced Game Design. Berkeley, Calif.: New Riders, 2012.

[17] Marsella, S., J. Gratch, and P. Petta. "A blueprint for an affectively competent agent: Cross-fertilization between Emotion Psychology, Affective Neuroscience, and Affective Computing." (2010).

[18] Gazzaniga and Heatherton, Michael S. and Todd F., Psychological Science: Mind, Brain and Behavior. Artmed, Porto Alegre. (2005).

[19] McCrae and Costa, Robert R. and Paul T., Handbook of personality: Theory and research. A five-factor theory of personality. Guilford, New York. (1999).

[20] Damásio, António R., Descartes' Error. Companhia das Letras, São Paulo. (1996).

[21] Strongman, Kenneth T., The Psychology of Emotion. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester. (2003).

[22] Kasap and Magnenat-Thalmann, Zerrin Kasap e Nadia Magnenat-Thalmann. Intelligent virtual humans with autonomy and personality: State-of-the-art. Journal Of Intelligent Decision Technologies, 3-15 (2007).

[23] Ortony et al., Andrew, Gerald L. Clore and Allan Collins. The Cognitive Structure of Emotion. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. (1988).

[24] Vinayagamoorthy et al., Vinoba, Marco Gillies, A. Steed, E. Tanguy, X. Pan, C. Loscos, e M. Slater. Building expressions into virtual characters. Eurographics Conference State of the Art Reports, Vienna .(2006).

[25] Mehrabian, Albert, Pleasure-arousal-dominance: A general framework for describing and measuring individual differences in temperament. Current Psychology, New York. (1995).

[26] Mehrabian, Albert, A general powerful system for assessing temperament to personality. http://www.kaaj.com.

[27] S. Sandri and C. Correa, "Lógica nebulosa," Escola de redes neurais: Conselho Nacional de Redes Neurais, vol. 5, pp. 73–90, 1999.

[28] Leite, F. N. H. F., Impact of emotional experiences in customer satisfaction: A study in medical offices. Universidade Federal de Fortaleza, Fortaleza - CE. (2006).

[29] Correa and Sandri, Claudio and Sandra, fuzzy logic. INPE, São Paulo. (1999).

[30] Gebhard, Patrick. Alma: a layered model of affect. Proceedings of the Fourth International Joint Conference On autonomous Agents and Multi- agent Systems, Utrecht. (2005).

[31] P. Buneman, S. Khanna, and T. Wang-Chiew, "Why and where: A characterization of data provenance," in Database Theory—ICDT 2001, Springer, 2001, pp. 316–330.

[32] Costa, P., and R. McCrae. "NEO PI-R, Inventário de Personalidade NEO Revisto." Florida: Psychological Assessment Resources–Adaptação Portuguesa de Margarida Pedroso de Lima e António Simões (2000). Lisboa: Cegoc.