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Abstract

Nowadays, multithread architectures for PCs (multi-core CPUs and GPUs), and game consoles (as Microsoft Xbox360 and Sony Playstation 3) is a trend. Hence, single thread game loops will not get the best performance on such architectures. For this reason, multithread game loops that take advantage of such architectures are gaining importance. There are a lot of multithread game loops that can be used in order to achieve better performance in a game, but they can not be adapted for different architectures. This paper presents a new architecture for game loops that can detect and analyze the user hardware and adapts itself to a specific game loop that can achieve the best performance for that hardware.
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1 Introduction

Multi-thread architectures on PC are getting more and more common with the development of multi-core processors and the new GPUs architectures that can be used for generic processing. Also top of the line video games like the Microsoft Xbox 360 and the Sony Playstation 3 features multi-cores processors. With that, game architectures have to paralyse and distribute its tasks between the processors, needing to utilize concepts from distributed and parallel systems in order to fully take advantage of the hardware.

Games are interactive real-time systems and, like multimedia application, they have time constraints to execute all of its processes and present to the end user the results. If a game does not fulfill this requirement, it will lose its interactivity and consequently it will fail. A common parameter for measuring a game and simulations is in frame per second (FPS). The lower acceptable bound for a game is 16 FPS. There are not higher bounds for a game FPS, but in PCs when the refresh rate of the monitor is less than the refresh of the game some discant of the rendered frame may occur. In order to achieve the best FPS in a game, game loops are designed and developed.

Game loop is the structure that determine the order that each task of the game is executed during the loop. The game loops is mainly divided in three categories: data acquisition, which gets the data from user’s input; data processing, where the game logic are processed; and data presentation, where the results are presented to the end user though images and audio.

This work is an extension of the work [Joselli et al. 2008], where a framework for game loops that can automatic distribute task between CPU and GPU and also can implement single or multi thread game loops. This work extended that work by presenting the following concepts: an automatic verification of the user hardware, as well as selects which loops will be used based on that knowledge and load balancing between the threads of the gameloop.

This work is organized as follows: Section two presents game loop background. Section three presents some related works. Section four presents and explains all the major functionalities of the proposed architecture. In section five presents the conclusion.

2 Game Loops

The game loop is the underlying structure upon games are built. Games are regarded as real-time applications because this kind of application has time constraints to run the tasks that rely on them. This means that if those tasks do not run fast enough, the experience the game must provide will be compromised.

The tasks that a computer game should execute can be broken down into three general groups: data acquisition, data processing, and presentation. Data acquisition means gathering data from available input devices: mice, joysticks, keyboards, and motion sensors. The data processing part refers to applying the user input into the game (user commands), applying game rules (game logic), simulating the game world (game Physics), simulating non-player characters (Artificial Intelligence), and related tasks. The presentation refers to providing feedback to the user about the current game state, through images and audio.

As listed previously, there are many tasks that a game must run. A computer game provides the illusion that everything is happening at once. Since a computer game is an interactive application, if it is unable to perform its work on time, the user experience will not be acceptable. This issue characterizes computer games as a heavy real-time application.

3 Related Works

Although the game loop represents the heart of computer games, there are not many academic works devoted to this subject. The works by blind for review et al [Valente et al. 2005], Dalmau [Dalmau 2003], Dickinson [Dickinson 2001], Watte [Watte 2005], Gabb and Lake [Gabb and Lake 2005], and Mikkelsen [Mikkelsen 2006] are among the few ones. All of them focus on single-player games. The simplest real time game loop models are the coupled ones. The Simple Coupled Model [Valente et al. 2005] perhaps is the straightforward approach to modeling game loops. It consists of sequentially arranging the tasks in a main loop. Figure 1 depicts this model.

![Simple Coupled Model](image_url)

This first model runs as fast as the machine is able to, making it very unpredictable when it comes to using it in different machine configurations. The uncoupled models separate the rendering and update stages, so they can run independently, in theory. A naive approach to a real time uncoupled models is to use one thread for rendering and another for the update tasks. This approach exposes the same unpredictable behavior of the Simple Coupled Model. The Multi-thread Uncoupled Model [Valente et al. 2005] try to bring determinism to the game execution by feeding the update stage with a time parameter. Figures 2 illustrate these models, respectively.
By using these models, the application has a chance to adjust its execution with time, so the game can run the same way in different machines. More powerful machines will be able to run the game more smoothly, while less powerful ones will still be able to provide some experience to the user. Although these are working solutions, time measuring may vary greatly in different machines due to many reasons (such as process load), making it difficult to reproduce it faithfully. For example, some games may require a scene replay feature [Dickinson 2001], which may not be trivial to implement if it is not possible to run some game sequence in a deterministic way.

Nowadays, computers and new video game consoles (such as the Xbox 360 and the Playstation 3) feature multi-core processors. For this reason, game loops that take advantage of these resources are likely to become important in the near future. Therefore, parallelizing game tasks with multiple threads is a natural step. However, dealing with concurrent programming introduces another set of problems, such as data sharing, data synchronization, and deadlocks.

According to [Mnkken 2006], this model scales well because it is able to allocate many processing cores as there are available. Performance is limited by the amount of data processing that can run in parallel. An important issue is how to synchronize communication of objects running in different threads. Mnkken 2006 states that the biggest drawback of this model is the need to having components designed with data parallelism in mind.

Joselli et al [Joselli et al 2008] presents an architecture for game loops that is able to implement any game loop model and distribute tasks between the CPU and the GPU. This work extends the work by Blind for review by proposing a game loop that is able to detect the available hardware and automatically distribute tasks among the various CPU cores and also to the GPU.

### 4 The Proposed Architecture

Although processing power in consoles and computers has greatly increased, and multi-core architectures make it possible to use parallel processing, proper software is needed to extract high performance from the hardware. Even though the game loop concept applies to both consoles and computers, there are some differences among these kind of hardware.

Consoles (i.e. consoles in the same family such as the Xbox 360) have the same hardware, memory, processors and number of cores, making development in those platforms more predictable (i.e. the developers knows the hardware s/he will be working with). On the other hand, for computers there is a myriad of configurations considering processors, memory, GPUs, and combination of this (and other) hardware.

The proposed architecture works with multi-core CPUs and GPUs (if one is available). The architecture considers both as resources. A resource is a CPU core or a GPU, and the architecture encapsulates them. However, not all of game tasks are suitable for processing both in the GPU and the CPU, as their architectures are different and require different programming paradigms.

The aim of the proposed architecture is to provide a management layer that it is able to analyze dynamically the hardware performance and adjust the amount of tasks to be processed by the resources. In order to make a correct task distribution, it is necessary to run an algorithm, and in the current architecture, a script is responsible for this. The architecture applies the scripting approach because the game loop is used in many games, and for each of them it uses a different algorithm and a subset of its parameters.

The core of the proposed architecture corresponds to the Task Manager and the Hardware Check class. The Task Manager schedules tasks in threads and changes which processor handles them whenever it is necessary. The Hardware Check monitors the processors to calculate the usage rates of all of them.

### 4.1 The Task Class

A task is defined such as a work that the application (the game) should execute. There are three classic tasks that all game application execute: player input, game state update, and providing feedback to the player. These tasks should be broken in small subtasks, for example: Player input is performed by reading the keyboard, mouse or joystick state. Feedback to the player is provided by rendering the scene graphically, playing a sound effect, or vibrating the joystick. Hence, a task can be anything that the application works towards processing.
The task structure is described as follows:

- **TASK_ID**: it is the id of the task. Whenever a task is created, it receives this unique number. The architecture uses the id to guarantee the correct order of execution and to identify which task is accessing the data shared among the tasks;
- **TASKTYPE**: the task type, that can be the following: input, update, presentation, and management (this last one identifies TaskManager and the Hardware Check classes);
- **STACK**: It is a stack area used to preserve the task state whenever it leaves the processor;
- **DEPENDENCY**: ID of next task that should run after this one. The Task Manager relies on this information to guarantee the correct execution ordering;
- **PRIORITY**: The Task Manager uses this value to decide which tasks in the task queue are going to be scheduled in each processor. The next Section provides more details about this field.
- Load balancing between the threads of the work.

### 4.2 The Task Manager Class

The Task Manager is a special class derived from the generic Task class, as Figure 5 illustrates. It provides management, instanting, finalizing, and synchronization of all others tasks (except itself).

To guarantee the correct distribution and the abstraction of the tasks, the architecture defines a special task called TaskManager. The Task Manager is responsible for defining which task runs in which processor, and it should check whether a task can be broken in smaller tasks or not, and redistribute them. This class is derived from the generic Task class, and it provides management, instanting, finalizing, and synchronization of all others tasks (except itself). In order to guarantee central control of tasks and their correct execution, there is only one instance of the TaskManager class in the application, implemented as the Singleton design pattern.

The Task Manager class loads scripts that describe the initial policy of distribution that should be adopted. This means which messages it will send and the rules that should be applied. The input parameters of the script are: the task name, the elapsed time, and which processor is being used. The script has a task list and their constraints. The task constraints are: the execution ordering and which processor should be used.

For each kind of task, the Task Manager holds one version of the algorithm for the CPU (CPU Task) and another version (if applicable) for the GPU (GPU Task), both of them with the same distribution policy. The Task Manager creates instances of the GPU Task objects to run in the GPU thread. If there is a processor in the system with four cores, the Task Manager will create four instances of the CPU Task class, according to the script rules. Thread synchronization must be guaranteed in the algorithms themselves. The GPU Task objects work the same way. The Task Manager identifies how many GPUs are there in the system, and then it breaks the task among them.

To make it possible to use a parallel programming model, the Task Manager implements a multithread game loop. To avoid the problems of this model of programming, the Task Manager uses a semaphore such as the synchronize object. Accessing shared data, starvation and deadlocks are examples of parallel programming model problems. Figure 6 illustrates the game loop model this work proposes.

The Task Manager sees the CPU cores and the GPU as processing resources. To distribute best the application among these resources, the Task Manager exchanges messages with the Hardware Check objects (they are described in the next Section). These messages are exchanged during the execution of the application. The Hardware Check objects send information about the performance of the tasks to the Task Manager, which in turn uses this to decide about the best load balancing to apply.

Each task holds information that the Task Manager queries and updates. Recapping from Section 4.1, these information are: task id, task type, a stack, dependencies on other tasks, and task priority.

The tasks send messages to the Task Manager when these events occur:

- A task finishes execution;
- A task finishes processing shared data.
When a task finishes processing some shared data, the message it sends to the Task Manager is interpreted as a release of the shared data.

For each CPU core and each GPU, there is a processing thread and an associated task queue. When a task finishes executing, the Task Manager chooses the next task based on the priority values of the tasks in the queue.

One of the major features of the proposed architecture is scheduling a task to run on another processor (CPU core to GPU or GPU to CPU core or CPU core to other CPU core) during its execution. In these cases, the task state is updated to the tasks own stack (and later restored) regardless of the processor type. For example, in time $t_1$ the GPU processes a Physics task and in time $t_2$ this task is scheduled to the CPU. The task runs for a short time before running (now in the CPU), the Task Manager reloads the task state from the tasks stack and signals it that the processor type has changed. The task priority is changed to a value of zero, which means that the task is placed on the front of the task queue. This measure is a way to guarantee that the task will keep on running.

The Task Manager performs load balancing according to the usage rate of processors. Another class, named Hardware Check, is responsible for providing the information about usage rates. Section 4.3 describes this class.

### 4.3 The Hardware Check Class

The Hardware Check is implemented as a task that runs on the CPU. There is only one instance of this class in the application. This class keeps track of the number of CPU cores and GPUs available in the system. While the application is running, it watches each processor to calculate the usage rates. The class informs the Task Manager about the current state of the processors and their cores. The possible states are: “waiting” and “running”.

The class uses an ordered data structure to store information about the usage rates of each processor. In this case, using a simple vector is enough. Keeping the vector ordered guarantees that the first element will be that represents the highest usage rate.

### 4.4 Ways to Distribute the Tasks

The architecture performs the initial task distribution based on heuristics by reading a script file that contains the rules. This script file is written with the Lua language [Lua 2009]. This script should not be complex to avoid delaying application startup.

The distribution of the tasks is done based on heuristics described and load before the execution of the application and it is written in LUA language. Despite the script of the heuristic is being loaded and processed during the start of application, it should not be complex to avoid spending time processing.

The architecture supports two kinds of heuristics. The first one is manual, which means specifying tasks for specific processor, ignoring performance. This heuristic is used to test task performance. The second one is the automatic heuristic.

The automatic heuristic should describe all tasks used by the application, their ordering and the types of processors the tasks use, as well as the rules to apply for changing processors. The elapsed time or frames per second are the only information the heuristic uses. It is a simple measure and can be applied for GPUs and CPUs. The input parameters are the processor ID and the time elapsed by the processor to process it. It returns the processor ID for the processor that should run the task after that execution.

The architecture automatically distributes tasks between processor cores. If a core takes longer to process a task than other cores, the Task Manager removes some of the load of the heaviest task, the Task Manager removes some of the load of the heaviest task (i.e the task that is taking longer to run), and put in the lightest task (i.e the task that is taking less time to run).

## 5 Conclusion

The development and evolution of multi-cores processors, GPUs and video games indicates that multithread architectures is a trend. This work discussed the concept of game loops, a subject that is not very discussed in the literature. Our contribution lies on extending a previous work by providing an architecture for game loops that is able to distribute tasks between the CPU and the GPU.

The proposed architecture is able to detect the available hardware, and then to break tasks into CPU cores and, if it is available, send them to the GPU.
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